UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,263	02/24/2004	Kosuke Yamaguchi	09812.0410	8885

22852 7590 03/07/2006

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER
LAY, MICHELLE K

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2672	

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/785,263	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**
	Michelle K. Lay	2672

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 February 2006.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) 1,4,6,8,9,12,14 and 16-19 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) 1,4,6,8,9,12,14 and 16-19 is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 February 2004 is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☒ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-15-06

4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .

5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on 02/15/2006, has been entered and made of record. Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 14, and 16-19 are pending. The cancellation of claim 7 has overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph rejection.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02/15/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues Ono (5,588,097) does not teach determining a rotation speed based on a distance between a coordinate and a central coordinate. Examiner respectfully disagrees. On page 22 of Applicant's disclosure, Applicant writes, "The three-dimensional rendering program with make, in step S509, a calculation for rotating the three-dimensional object 40 on the basis of the axis, direction and ***angle (speed) of rotation*** ..." [emphasis added], which is also how Ono (see claim 1 rejection) determines the speed of rotation. Furthermore, the angle of rotation of Ono uses the points P2 and P3 from a designated center point (e.g. P1) as illustrated in Fig. 4c of Ono, in order to determine the angle [Ono: col. 3, lines 45-65]. Thus, the method and system of Ono inherently uses distances from P2 and P3 from the designated center point in order to calculate the value of the rotation angle α.

Applicant argues Chen (5,588,098) fails to teach detecting a coordinate defined on the display and determining if the object should be scaled based on the detected coordinate. Examiner respectfully disagrees. The method and system of Chen detects

the location of the cursor (*said coordinate defined*), and where the cursor is located, i.e. scaling active zone (*see Fig. 11, enlarging arrow indicates scaling*), determines what function the method and system of Chen will operate in.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 02/15/2006 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim **8**, **16**, **19** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen (5,588,098).

The invention of Chen discloses a manipulation of a computer displayed object representing in three-dimensional form.

In regards to claim **8**, Chen teaches ***a three-dimensional object manipulating apparatus, comprising:***

- ***a display means for displaying a three-dimensional object on the screen of a display unit;***

  [Fig. 1 (19)]

- ***a coordinate detecting means for detecting a coordinate defined on the display screen by a user's touch;***

  [Fig. 1 (15)]

- ***a determination means for determining whether the three-dimensional object is to be scaled up or down in predetermined cycle on the basis of the coordinate detected by the coordinate detecting means; and***

  [Fig. 11, enlarging arrow indicating that dimensions are to be affected in the case of a scaling active zone; col. 6, lines 30-39; col. 7, lines 7-21].

- ***an object scale-up/-down means for scaling up or down the three-dimensional object on the basis of the result of determination supplied from the determination means.***

  [Fig. 8, col. 17, line 31 – col. 18, line 26]

In regards to claim **16**, claim 16 recites similar limitations as claim 8 and thus, is rejected with the same basis and rationale as claim 8.

In regards to claim **19**, claim 19 recites similar limitations as claim 8 and thus, is rejected with the same basis and rationale as claim 8. Furthermore, Chen teaches a computer system (10) as shown in Fig. 1. The system includes a CPU/memory unit

(11) that comprises a microprocessor, related logic circuitry, and memory circuits. A keyboard (13) provides inputs to the CPU/memory unit (11), as well as the 2-D input controller (15). Disk drives (17) are used for mass storage of programs and data. Display output is provided by a video display (19).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims **1**, **4**, **6**, **9**, **12**, **14**, **17**, and **18** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono et al. (5,588,097).

Ono teaches the limitations of claims **1**, **4**, **6**, **9**, **12**, **14**, **17**, and **18** with the exception of disclosing determining rotation speed. However, Ono teaches rotating an image with three degrees of freedom by pen manipulation.

In regards to claim **1**, Ono teaches ***a three-dimensional object manipulating apparatus, comprising:***

- ***a display means for displaying a three-dimensional object on the screen of a display unit***;

  [Fig. 1 (6, 9); col. 2, line 33 – col. 3, line 27]

- ***a coordinate detecting means for detecting a coordinate defined on the display screen by a user's touch;***

  [Fig. 1 (5, 6, 7); positional information indicated by user via a pen (7) on a display screen (6) of the tablet (5), is input to the image generating section (2); col. 2, line 33 – col. 3, lines 27; col. 3, lines 45-64].

- ***a determination means for determining an axis and direction of rotation for the three-dimensional object in a predetermined cycle on the basis of the coordinate detected by the coordinate detecting means; and***

  [Fig. 1b (18); axial rotation angle calculation circuit (18) performs calculations to determine the three degrees of freedom to be used for controlling the posture of object in three-dimensional space; col. 3, lines 1-5].

- ***an object rotating means for rotating the three-dimensional object on the basis of the result of determination supplied from the determination means;***

  [Fig. 1 (3); col. 2, line 33 – col. 3, line 27].

- ***wherein the determination means determines the axis and direction of rotation for the three-dimensional object on the basis of a positional relation between the coordinate detected by the coordinate detecting means and a central coordinate on the display screen; and***

  The data is inputted by the user for the rotational operation via input device shown in Fig. 1 (5, 6, 7). As shown in Figs 4a-4d, the polar coordinates are specified by moving a point P (***coordinate detected by the coordinate***

detecting means) on the spherical surface (22) from P0 to P1 to rotate the object (21). The rotation about an axis is defined by the center O (***central coordinate***) of spherical surface (22) and the point P0 or P1 [col. 3, lines 45-65].

As shown in Fig. 4c, a rotation angle α about the axis (O-P1) is determined via points P2 and P3. Additionally, the user moves the pen (7) from the start point P2 in the direction of the desired rotation and then specifies another point P3 on the spherical surface (22), so that the angle $P_2P_1P_3$ defines a rotation angle about the axis OP1 [col. 3, lines 45-65; col. 5, lines 30-47].

Ono implicitly teaches ***wherein the determination means further determines a rotating speed for the three-dimensional object on the basis of a distance between the coordinate detected by the coordinate detecting means and a central coordinate on the display screen, and the object rotating means rotates the three-dimensional object at the determined speed.***

The user defines an axis of rotation as well as an angle of rotation. Applicant defines the angle of rotation as the speed of rotation [refer to [0091]]. As shown in Fig. 4c of Ono et al., a rotation angle α about the axis (O-P1) is determined via points P2 and P3. Additionally, the user moves the pen (7) from the start point P2 in the direction of the desired rotation and then specifies another point P3 on the spherical surface (22), so that the angle $P_2P_1P_3$ defines a rotation angle about the axis OP1 [col. 3, lines 45-65; col. 5, lines 30-47]. Therefore, from the

definition within the disclosure of the current application, Ono teaches the speed of rotation.

In regards to claim **4**, Ono et al. teaches ***a three-dimensional object manipulating apparatus, comprising:***

- ***a display means for displaying a three-dimensional object on the screen of a display unit*;**

  [Fig. 1 (6, 9); col. 2, line 33 – col. 3, line 27]

- ***a coordinate detecting means for detecting a coordinate defined on the display screen by a user's touch;***

  [Fig. 1 (5, 6, 7); positional information indicated by user via a pen (7) on a display screen (6) of the tablet (5), is input to the image generating section (2); col. 2, line 33 – col. 3, lines 27; col. 3, lines 45-64].

- ***a determination means for determining an axis and direction of rotation for the three-dimensional object in a predetermined cycle on the basis of the coordinate detected by the coordinate detecting means*; and**

  [Fig. 1b (18); axial rotation angle calculation circuit (18) performs calculations to determine the three degrees of freedom to be used for controlling the posture of object in three-dimensional space; col. 3, lines 1-5].

- ***an object rotating means for rotating the three-dimensional object on the basis of the result of determination supplied from the determination means;***

[Fig. 1 (3); col. 2, line 33 – col. 3, line 27].

- ***wherein the determination means determines an axis and direction of rotation for the three-dimensional object on the basis of a positional relation between the coordinate detected by the coordinate detecting means and the three-dimensional object on the display screen; and***
  The data is inputted by the user for the rotational operation via input device shown in Fig. 1 (5, 6, 7). As shown in Figs 4a-4d, the polar coordinates are specified by moving a point P (***coordinate detected by the coordinate detecting means***) on the spherical surface (22) from P0 to P1 to rotate the object (21). The rotation about an axis is defined by the center O of spherical surface (22) and the point P0 or P1 [col. 3, lines 45-65].
  As shown in Fig. 4c, a rotation angle α about the axis (O-P1) is determined via points P2 and P3. Additionally, the user moves the pen (7) from the start point P2 in the direction of the desired rotation and then specifies another point P3 on the spherical surface (22), so that the angle $P_2P_1P_3$ defines a rotation angle about the axis OP1 [col. 3, lines 45-65; col. 5, lines 30-47].
  Since points P0 and P1 can be specified by the simple operation of freely moving the pen (7) on the surface (22), the user can rotate the object (21) to an arbitrary orientation as desired [col. 5, lines 19-20]. Thus, the user can select coordinates in reference to the three-dimensional object.

Ono implicitly teaches ***wherein the determination means further determines a rotating speed for the three-dimensional object on the basis of a distance***

between the coordinate detected by the coordinate detecting means and a central coordinate on the display screen, and the object rotating means rotates the three-dimensional object at the determined speed.

The user defines an axis of rotation as well as an angle of rotation. Applicant defines the angle of rotation as the speed of rotation [refer to [0091]]. As shown in Fig. 4c of Ono et al., a rotation angle α about the axis (O-P1) is determined via points P2 and P3. Additionally, the user moves the pen (7) from the start point P2 in the direction of the desired rotation and then specifies another point P3 on the spherical surface (22), so that the angle $P_2P_1P_3$ defines a rotation angle about the axis OP1 [col. 3, lines 45-65; col. 5, lines 30-47]. Therefore, from the definition within the disclosure of the current application, Ono teaches the speed of rotation.

In regards to claim **6**, Ono et al teaches ***a three-dimensional object manipulating apparatus, comprising:***

- ***a display means for displaying a three-dimensional object on the screen of a display unit;***

  [Fig. 1 (6, 9); col. 2, line 33 – col. 3, line 27]

- ***a coordinate detecting means for detecting a coordinate defined on the display screen by a user's touch;***

[Fig. 1 (5, 6, 7); positional information indicated by user via a pen (7) on a display screen (6) of the tablet (5), is input to the image generating section (2); col. 2, line 33 – col. 3, lines 27; col. 3, lines 45-64].

- ***a determination means for determining a moving direction for the three-dimensional object in a predetermined cycle on the basis of the coordinate detected by the coordinate detecting means and barycentric coordinate of the three-dimensional object on the display screen; and***

[Fig. 1b (18); axial rotation angle calculation circuit (18) performs calculations to determine the three degrees of freedom to be used for controlling the posture of object in three-dimensional space; col. 3, lines 1-5]

The data is inputted by the user for the rotational operation via input device shown in Fig. 1 (5, 6, 7). As shown in Figs 4a-4d, the polar coordinates are specified by moving a point P (***coordinate detected by the coordinate detecting means***) on the spherical surface (22) from P0 to P1 to rotate the object (21). The rotation about an axis is defined by the center O of spherical surface (22) and the point P0 or P1 [col. 3, lines 45-65].

As shown in Fig. 4c, a rotation angle α about the axis (O-P1) is determined via points P2 and P3. Additionally, the user moves the pen (7) from the start point P2 in the direction of the desired rotation and then specifies another point P3 on the spherical surface (22), so that the angle $P_2P_1P_3$ defines a rotation angle about the axis OP1 [col. 3, lines 45-65; col. 5, lines 30-47].

The direction of rotation corresponds to said ***moving direction for the three-dimension object.***

- ***an object moving means for moving the three-dimensional object on the basis of the result of determination supplied from the determination means; and***

[Fig. 1 (3); col. 2, line 33 – col. 3, line 27; where ***moving the three-dimensional object*** corresponds to rotating a object in three dimensions].

Ono implicitly teaches ***wherein the determination means further determines a rotating speed for the three-dimensional object on the basis of a distance between the coordinate detected by the coordinate detecting means and a central coordinate on the display screen, and the object rotating means rotates the three-dimensional object at the determined speed.***

The user defines an axis of rotation as well as an angle of rotation. Applicant defines the angle of rotation as the speed of rotation [refer to [0091]]. As shown in Fig. 4c of Ono et al., a rotation angle α about the axis (O-P1) is determined via points P2 and P3. Additionally, the user moves the pen (7) from the start point P2 in the direction of the desired rotation and then specifies another point P3 on the spherical surface (22), so that the angle $P_2P_1P_3$ defines a rotation angle about the axis OP1 [col. 3, lines 45-65; col. 5, lines 30-47]. Therefore, from the definition within the disclosure of the current application, Ono teaches the speed of rotation.

In regards to claim **9**, claim 9 recites similar limitations as claim 1 and thus, is rejected with the same basis and rationale as claim 1.

In regards to claim **12**, claim 12 recites similar limitations as claims 9 and 4 and thus, is rejected with the same basis and rationale as claims 9 and 4.

In regards to claim **14**, claim 14 recites similar limitations as claim 6 and thus, is rejected with the same basis and rationale as claim 6.

In regards to claim **17**, claim 17 recites similar limitations as claim 1 and thus, is rejected with the same basis and rationale as claim 1. Furthermore, referring to Fig. 1b, it would have been obvious for instructions to reside in the memory device (12) in order to implement the method of Ono.

In regards to claim **18**, claim 18 recites similar limitations as claim 6 and thus, is rejected with the same basis and rationale as claim 6. Furthermore, referring to Fig. 1b, it would have been obvious for instructions to reside in the memory device (12) in order to implement the method of Ono.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle K. Lay whose telephone number is (571) 272-7661. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30am to 5:00pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays from 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on (571) 272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michelle K. Lay
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2672

02.24.2006 mkl

Michelle K Lay.

RICHARD HJERPE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600